

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

MAX REED, II,	)	3:11-cv-00066-HDM-WGC
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	<b><u>MINUTES OF THE COURT</u></b>
	)	
vs.	)	June 25, 2012
	)	
DEPUTY TRACY, <i>et al.</i> ,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
	)	

PRESENT: THE HONORABLE WILLIAM G. COBB, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DEPUTY CLERK: KATIE LYNN OGDEN REPORTER: NONE APPEARING

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S): NONE APPEARING

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT(S): NONE APPEARING

**MINUTE ORDER IN CHAMBERS:**

Before the Court is plaintiff's "Motion to Request Statement of Case and Latest Rulings." (Doc. #40.) The Court notes the defendants filed a similar motion on June 13, 2012 (Doc. #38). The Court deemed defendants' motion to be moot because a scheduling order (Doc. #26) had been previously issued and the time for completion of discovery had passed. The parties were afforded a new deadline (6/29/12) for submission of a proposed joint pre-trial order. (Doc. #39.) The Court's Order (Doc. #39) addressed and therefore disposed of the same issues raised by plaintiff's motion (Doc. #40).

Plaintiff's motion (Doc. #40) is **DENIED** as moot.<sup>1</sup>

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

LANCE S. WILSON, CLERK

By: \_\_\_\_\_ /s/  
Deputy Clerk

<sup>1</sup> The Court notes plaintiff has expressed an interest in possible settlement and claims defendants have not responded to either of plaintiff's proposals. Defendants' counsel is directed to contact plaintiff and discuss these proposals.